

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING I | DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------|---|------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--|
| 08/971,254 | 11/17/1997 | | PAUL J. BERLOWITZ | | 3889 | |
| 27810 | 7590 01/05/2004 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| EXXONMO | EXXONMOBIL RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING COMPANY | | | | MEDLEY, MARGARET B | |
| P.O. BOX 90 | 00 | | | | | |
| 1545 ROUT | E 22 EAST | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| ANNANDALE, NJ 08801-0900 | | | | 1714 | | |

DATE MAILED: 01/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | \mathcal{L}_{A} |
|--|--|--|
| · | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| Office Action Comments | 08/971,254 | BERLOWITZ ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| _ | Margaret B. Medley | 1714 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty if will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB | pply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. (HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 175 | <u>September 2003</u> . | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This | s action is non-final. | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowation closed in accordance with the practice under | ance except for formal matte <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D | ers, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213. |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5,8,12-21,23-26 and 28-30</u> is/a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5,8,12-21,23-26 and 28-30</u> is/a 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/a | awn from consideration. | n. |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin | er. | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc | cepted or b)⊡ objected to b | y the Examiner. |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | e drawing(s) be held in abeyan | ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | | , , |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | xaminer. Note the attached | Office Action or form PTO-152. |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. See the attached detailed Office action for a list since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language priority. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the company of the first sentence of the company of the company of the first sentence of the company of the comp | ats have been received. Ats have been received in Apprity documents have been received in Apprity documents have been received in Apprity documents have been received in Apprity of the certified copies not received in the certified copies of the specifical covisional application has been to priority under 35 U.S.C. | eceived in this National Stage eceived. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) tion or in an Application Data Sheet. en received. § 120 and/or 121 since a specific |
| Attachment(s) | _ | |
| I) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _ | 5) 🔲 Notice of In | ımmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152) |

Application/Control Number: 08/971,254

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to the amendment dated September 17, 2003.

The pending claims of record are claims 1-2, 4-5, 8, 12-21, 23-26 and 28-30.

The previous 102 and 103 rejections under 35 U.S. C. 103 are withdrawn in view of the applicants' arguments made of record.

A new rejection is set forth below.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-2, 4-5, 8, 12-21, 23-26 and 28-30 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of U.S. Patent No. 5,689,031. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the compositions and process for producing a composition are rendered obvious because the instant claimed 0.0025-0.3 weight percent oxygenates encompasses the 0.01-0.3 weight percent oxygenates of the patent

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret B. Medley whose telephone number is 703-308-2518.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Margaret B. Medley

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1714

MBMedley December 22, 2003